# RING EXPANSION OF SOME 4-AMINOAZETIDIN-2-ONES INTO 4-AMINO-5-IMINOPYRROLIDIN-2-ONES

## C. NISOLE, P. URIAC, L. TOUPET and J. HUET

Laboratoire de Chimie Pharmaceutique, Université de Rennes I, Avenue du Professeur Léon Bernard, F 35043 RENNES CEDEX b Laboratoire de Physique Cristalline URA 804, Université de Rennes I, Campus de Beaulieu, F 35042 RENNES CEDEX

(Received in Belgium 5 October 1992)

#### Key Words

β-cyanoamides 4-aminoazetidin-2-ones 4-amino-5-iminopyrrolidin-2-ones iminium ions

Abstract: Ring opening of 4-aminoazetidin-2-ones of three series (1-benzazepine, quinoline and linear analog) using trimethylsilyl cyanide (TMSCN) led stereospecifically to  $\beta$ -cyanoamides which could cyclize into 4-amino-5-iminopyrrolidin-2-ones in the presence of AlCl<sub>3</sub> These heterocycles were also prepared by one-pot reaction (TMSCN + AlCl<sub>3</sub>). A structural study of these compounds was performed, including X-ray

#### INTRODUCTION

This work is a continuation of our investigations (1)(2) about the reactivity of some 4-aminoazetidin-2-ones ( $\beta$ -amino- $\beta$ -lactams), which were prepared by addition of phenyl isocyanate to enamines.

In this paper, we study the ring expansion of these heterocycles into 4-amino-5 -iminopyrrolidin-2 ones.

The strategy of this transformation in based upon the two following considerations:

-  $\alpha$ -aminonitriles can be prepared from *gem*-difunctionnal amino-derivatives with HCN(3) or from immum salts with KCN (4a),(5),(6),(7).

-β-cyanoamides can be converted into 5-iminopyrrolidin-2-ones, by intramolecular cyclization (8),(9),(10)

890 C NISOLE et al

Starting from these results, the ring expansion of our 4-aminoazetidin-2-ones I into the 4-amino-5-iminopyrrolidin-2-ones III could be achieved by a two-step process (scheme 1):

- cleavage of the N-1—C-4 bond of the aminoazetidinones by incorporation of a cyano group leading stereospecifically to the  $\beta$ -cyanoamides  $\Pi$ , in the presence of trimethylsilyl cyanide (TMSCN),
- intramolecular cyclization of II, induced by anhydrous AlCl<sub>3</sub>.

The use of a mixture of TMSCN and AlCl<sub>3</sub> allowed the one-pot synthesis of the iminopyrrolidinones III.

Our results concerning three series of  $\beta$ -amino- $\beta$ -lactams, in which the amine moiety is either included in heterocycle (quinoline, 1-benzazepine) or not (linear analog) are described here.

#### RESULTS

Azetidinones 1,2,3,4 were allowed to react in benzene at room temperature with TMSCN (11) and with or without AlCl<sub>3</sub>. Two types of compounds were synthetized: the cyanoamides 10,20,30,40 and the iminopyrrolidinones 11,21,31,41. Our results are shown in scheme 2.

The cyanoamides 10, 20a + 20b and 40 were prepared using TMSCN without AlCl<sub>3</sub> with a 50% yield. However, a catalytic amount of AlCl<sub>3</sub> (1/350) was needed for the transformation of 3 into 30 which was always obtained along with about 30% of its cyclization derivative 31.

The synthesis of the iminopyrrolidinones 11,21,31 was achieved either from the cyanoamides 10,20,30 or from the azetudinones 1,2,3 (one-pot procedure). In both cases 1 eq. of AlCl<sub>3</sub> per 1 5 eq. of starting material was used. Yields ranged from 70% to 26%.

With the quinoline derivatives the H-3 substituent induced the previously reported (1),(12) rearrangement of 4 into 5 as well as HCN elimination from 40 which also led to 5. The iminopyrrolidinone 41 was only availbe by treatment of 40 under alkaline conditions (10) but with a poor yield (10%-not optimized)

In agreement with our previous studies (1),(2) the synthesis of the cyanoamides was diastereospecific As in the starting aminoazetidinones the relationship between H-2 and CH<sub>3</sub>-3 (or H-2 and H-3) was cus and was conserved during the intramolecular cyclization process into uninopyrrolidinones.

For structural elucidation essentially, N-acetylation of iminopyrrolidinones 11,21,31 leading to 12,22,32 was performed with an 80% yield using (CH<sub>2</sub>CO)<sub>2</sub>O in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at room temperature (scheme 3).

Compounds 20, 21 and 22 were obtained from the unseparated mixture 2a + 2b in the two stereomeric forms a and b. In the a series CH<sub>2</sub>3 and CH<sub>2</sub>5 were trans whereas in the b series they were cis.

Reagents

A: TMSCN/C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>

B: TMSCN/AICI, catalytic/C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>

E: AICI/C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>4</sub>

F: NaOH-EtOH 1,5 N

## -Scheme 2-

892 C Nisole et al

Some selected spectroscopic data of the cyanoamides and the iminopyrrolidinones studied are reported in Tables I and II.

N-acetylated iminopyrrolidinones 12,22,32 showed similar data with additional signals assigned to the acetyl group: vC=0 1675-1700 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\delta COCH_3$ : 183 and 25 ppm.

TABLE I: β-CYANOAMIDES

	IR		'H NMR	"C NMR		
	v C=0	v CaN	8 H-2	8 C-2 ('J)	8 C-12	δ C-14
10	1675	2250	s.4.44	62.58 (142)	171.60	114 94
20a	1662	2250	s:4.36	61.46 (145)	172.75	115.57
20Ъ	-	•	s:4.0	62.73 (147)	171.63	116.14
30			s:4.99	62.50 (142)	172.46	116.14
40	1660		d.d:4.67	53.26 (151)	168.48	117 33

TABLE II: IMINOPYRROLIDINONES

	IR		'H NMR	"C NMR		
	v C <b>−</b> O	v C=N	8 H-2	8 C-2 (ካ)	8 C-12	8 C-14
11	1735	1650	s:4.11	72.31(138)	178 75	164 45
21a	1720	1640	s:3.94	70.17(148)	178.71	162.25
21b	•	*	s:3.75	72.85(146)	179 05	163.00
31	1730	1655	s:4.81	67.41(139)	180.40	164 41
41			d:4.47			

The mass spectra of the iminopyrrolidinones showed a non classical fragmentation with loss of HCN + H (M-28) with 1-benzazepine derivatives. H incorporation experiments are in progress to afford an explanation

The quinoline and 1-benzazepine derivatives were stereospecifically prepared and their relative configurations established. We would like to emphasize that both the cyclization step into immopyrrolidinones and the N-acetylation occurred with retention of the configurations.

### Compounds 10,11,12,20,21,22 (1-benzazepine derivatives)

X-ray crystallographic study of 12 and 21a and NOE experiments with 10, 20a, 22a, 22b demonstrated clearly the spatial relationship between CH<sub>3</sub>-3 and both H-2 and H-5 (scheme 4).

#### Compounds 40,41( quinoline derivatives)

The high JH-2 H-3 value (9,5 Hz) indicated a cis relationship between these two eclipsed hydrogen atoms of 41 and those of 40. The small JH-2 H-3 (4,5 Hz) value suggested a staggered conformation (13) for 40.

- Scheme 4 -

894 C NISOLE et al

#### **DISCUSSION**

The stereochemistry of this ring expansion reaction was entirely controlled during the first step.

As found in our previous works (1),(2) in which we described the reactivity of the aminoazetidinone using various YH reagents (such as CH<sub>3</sub>OH, CH<sub>3</sub>SH, HCl....) an iminium ion was postulated as an intermediate. The TMSCN attack on this iminium ion occurred stereospecifically on the same face as the amido group and probably with its assistance (scheme 5).

In the second step, ring closure was carried out by intramolecular nucleophilic addition of the amide to the cyano group. Many reactions of this type, involving nitrogen (or oxygen) nucleophiles and leading to heterocycles of various ring sizes, have been reported (8),(9),(10),(14). In our experiments, the nucleophilicity of the amido-nitrogen atom allowed cyclization but the C=N triple bond had to be activated (4b) by a Lewis acid (AlCl<sub>1</sub>) The stereochemistry remained unchanged in this step. (scheme 5).

In the one-pot synthesis the same process most probably occurred too.

#### CONCLUSION

This work is a new illustration of the synthetic potential of 4-aminoazetidin-2-ones ( $\beta$ -amino- $\beta$ -lactams). Indeed, immum ions, bearing an amido group, can be generated from this class of compounds. We have previously reported examples of heterocyclisations involving this type of immum ions (2). In this study, the use of TMSCN, which allowed the introduction of the cyano group, led to an efficient preparation of immopyrrolidinones (15).

#### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

#### GENERAL

Melting points were determined on a Kofler apparatus and were not corrected. Elemental analyses were carried out at the Faculté de Pharmacie (Université de Paris XI) Purifications by column chromatography were done on 70-230 mesh silical gel (Merck) and eluent composition was given in volume TLC analyses were performed on pre-coated aluminium sheets of stilical gel 60 F254 (layer thickness: 0.22 mm) (Merck) and components were visualized with UV light and iodine. Indicated Rf values were determined using purification eluents All reactions were controlled by TLC. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 377 instrument (KBr pellets) NMR spectra were recorded on a Brucker AM300 FT spectrometer at the Centre Regional de Mesures Physiques de l'Ouest (CRMPO) at 300 MHz (<sup>1</sup>H) or 75 MHz (<sup>13</sup>C). Chemical shifts were expressed in ppm downfield from TMS and coupling constants (J) in Hertz. HNMR · AB systems were presented in the following order: H-α (m:centered) the more deshielded, H-β (m:centered) the more shielded, JH-α H-β For NOE experiments the samples were prepared using N2. 13C NMR · broad band and gated decoupling spectra were recorded. The assignments were made using chemical shifts and coupling constants ('J and long range coupling). Values with an asterisk\* could be interverted. The conventional mass spectra were determined on a Varian MAT 311 double-focusing instrument at the CRMPO with a source temperature of 140°C, an ion accelerating potential of 3 kV, and ionizing electrons of 70 eV and 300 µA. Direct Insertion Probe was used MIKE spectra were recorded on the same spectrometer (2nd Field Free Region). Atom numbers are shown in Tables I and II.

#### Compound 10

To a solution of 1 (1g; 3,4 mmol) in 20 ml of dry benzene, TMSCN (1g; 10 mmol) was added with a syringe under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 days and then cooled (ice bath) before the addition of 5 ml of water. Stirring continued for 1 h. After separation of the benzenic layer the aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The combined organic layers were dried (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) and concentrated. The precipitation of the pure 2R\*3S\* diastereomer of 10 was obtained by addition of ether. Yield · 60% Purification of 10 was also performed by column chromatography on silica gel using 95:5 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-ether as eluent

mp 168°C Rf0,7 IR: vC=0· 1675, vC=N: 2250, vNH: 3345, <sup>1</sup>HNMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), CH<sub>3</sub>-1(s·3 04),H-2 (s 4 44), CH<sub>3</sub>-3(s:1 62), H-4α(m:2.05), H-4β(m:1.98), JH-4α H-4β (12), H-5α (m:2.98), H-5β(m:2.76), JH-5α H-5β (14),H-13 and Ar (m:7.00-7.60).NOE(CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) H-2: +11%. <sup>13</sup>CNMR: (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), CH<sub>3</sub>-1(43 15),C-2(62.58), JC-2 H-2(142), C-3(49.10), CH<sub>3</sub>-3(25.27), C-4(33.00), C-5(30.98), C-6(130.02), C-7(124.59), C-8(127.80), C-9 (119 52), C-10(147.29), C-11(135.17), C-12(171.60), C-14(114.94), C-1'(137 48), C-2'6'(120.53), C-3'5' (129 13), C-4'(124.85). MS. M<sup>2</sup>: 319(36), 291(7), 199(14), 198(10), 188(13), 187(9), 173(24), 159(12), 158(100), 157(28), 144(10), 133(16), 132(37), 131(18), 130(13), 120(13), 119(20), 118(15), (117(14), 91(17), 77(11). C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>0: calcd: 319.1684; found: 319.169. Anal: calcd: C:75.21, H:6.62, N:13.15, found C.75 07, H 6.73, N:13.05.

896 C Nisole et al

#### Compounds 20 a +20 b

The above procedure was repeated to obtain a mixture of the diastereomers 20 a (2R\*3S\*5S\*) and 20 b (2R\*3S\*5S\*) in a 60:40 ratio. Yield: 50%. Chromatography solvent: 95:5 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> -ether. Recrystallization in toluene allowed the obtention of the 20 a isomer 90% pure.

Rf 0.7, \_R: vC=O: 1662, vNH:3345, vC=N: 2250, <sup>1</sup>HNMR:(CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) isomer a 90%), CH<sub>3</sub>.1(s:3 02), H-2 (s.4.36), CH<sub>3</sub>-3(s.1.66), H-4α(m:1.85), H-4β (m:1.81), JH-4α H-4β (13), H-5(m:3.20), CH<sub>3</sub>.5(d·1.47), H-13 and Ar(m.7 00-7.60). NOE:H-2: +9%,H-5: +13%.(CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, mixture a + b, isomer b)CH<sub>3</sub>.1(s:3.18), H-2(s ≈4), H-5 (m 3.34), CH<sub>3</sub>.5(d:1.36), H-13 and Ar(m:7.00 -7.60). <sup>13</sup>CNMR: (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, isomer a 90%), CH<sub>3</sub>-1(44 12), C-2 (61.46), JC-2 H-2 (145), C-3(49.38), C-4(38.89), C-5(29.19), CH<sub>3</sub>-3 and CH<sub>3</sub>.5 (21.53; 21.78), C-6(125.21\*), C-7(124.42), C-8(127.27), C-9(119.28), C-10(148.45), C-11(138.96), C-12(172.75), C-14(115.57), C-1' (137.00), C-2'6'(121.02), C-3'5'(129.00), C-4'(125.13\*). (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, mixture a + b, isomer b) C-2(62.73), JC-2H-2 (147), C-12(171.63), C-14(116.14). MS: M<sup>2</sup> 333(50), 334(11), 305(10), 213(17), 212(12), 188(14), 187(32), 173(18), 172 (100), 171(19), 158(17), 157(56), 156(10), 147(31), 146(50), 145(21), 144(19), 132(47), 131(25), 130(10), 119(22), 91(17); C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O:calcd:333.1841, found:333.184 . Anal: calcd: C:75.64, H 6.95, N·12.60, found: C:75.50, H:6.98, N:12.64

#### Compound 30

The reaction was performed using the previous procedure with an extra addition of a catalytic amount of AlCl<sub>3</sub> (2mg, 0.01 mmol). After 7 days the reaction residue was chromatographed (80:20 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>-ether) The faster eluded compound 30 (Rf 0.9) was always obtained along with about 30% of 31, the slower eluded product (Rf 0.7). 30 was actually transformed into 31 on the silica gel.

<sup>1</sup>HNMR: (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 30:70% + 31:30%), CH<sub>2</sub>-1(s:2.98), H-2(s:4.99), 2CH<sub>3</sub>(s:1.52 and s:1.51), H-13(s:7 91), Ar(m:6.50-7 60). <sup>13</sup>CNMR: (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 30:70% + 31:30%), CH<sub>3</sub>-1(37.91), C-2(62 50), JC-2 H-2(142), C-3 (48.52), 2CH<sub>3</sub>-3(23.94; 23.55), C-12(172.46), C-14(116.14).

#### Compound 40

The same procedure was used. After purification by chromatography (95:5 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>ether) the 2R\*3S\* diastereomer of 40 precipitated slowly. Yield: 50%.

mp: 170°C Rf:0.5 IR: vC=0:1660, vNH: 3280, <sup>1</sup>HNMR: (CDCl3) CH3-1(s:3.04), H-2(dd:4 67), H-3 (m:3 18), JH-2 H-3 (4.5), H-4α (m:3.39), H-4β (m:3.05), JH-4α H-4β (16.6), JH-4α H-3 (6), JH-4β H-3(5), JH-4β H-2(2), H-13(s:7.90), Ar(m.6.70-7.40). <sup>13</sup>CNMR:(CDCl<sub>3</sub>) CH<sub>3</sub>-1(37.92), C-2(53.26), JC-2 H-2(151), C-3 (44.61), C-4(28.08), C-5(128.83), C-6(120.28), C-7(128.53), C-8(113.52), C-9(143.10), C-10(120.55), C-12 (168.48), C-14(117.33), C-1'(137.17), C-2'6'(120.39), C-3'5'(129.05), C-4'(124.99) MS: M<sup>2</sup>.291(3), 264(25) 173(15), 172(100), 144(24), 143(16). C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O. calcd: 291.1371; found: 291.137. Anal· calcd· C:74.20 H·5.88, N.14.42; found: C:74.14, H:5.90, N:14.47.

#### Compound 11

- The preparation of 11 from 1 was performed using the general procedure described for 10 but AlCl<sub>3</sub> (300 mg, 2.2 mmol) was added under cooling and the reaction was faster (36h).
- 11 was also prepared from 10, by stirring for 36h in 20 ml of dry benzene at room temperature with the same amount of AlCl<sub>3</sub>.

Purification through a silica gel column chromatography using 80:20 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-ether as eluent afforded pure 2R\* 3S\* diastereomer of 11 which precipitated by addition of ether . Yield: 70%. mp.127°C <u>Rf</u>: 0.8 <u>IR</u>: vC=O: 1735, vNH: 3225, vC=N: 1650 'HNMR: (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), CH<sub>3</sub>-1(s:3 27), H-2 (s 4.11), CH<sub>3</sub>-3 (s:1.49), H-4 $\alpha$  (m:2.31), H-4 $\beta$  (m:2.09), JH-4 $\alpha$  H-4 $\beta$  (14), H-5 $\alpha$  (m:3.00), H-5 $\beta$  (m:2.87), JH-5 $\alpha$  H-5 $\beta$ (16.6), H-15(s:6.97), Ar(m:6.80-7.50). "CNMR: (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), CH<sub>3</sub>-1(44.10), C-2(72 31), JC-2 H-2 (138), C-3 (47.51), CH<sub>3</sub>-3 (24.19), C-4(32.61), C-5(29.99), C-6(130.71), C-7(122.47), C-8(126.95), C-9 (119.90), C-10 (146.64), C-11(131.96), C-12(178.75), C-14(164.45), C-1'(132.49), C-2'6'(127.26), C-3'5' (129.34), C-4'(128.49) <u>MS</u>: M<sup>3</sup>: 319(3), 292(14), 291(89), 189(11), 188(100), 187(79), 173(34), 132(16) C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O · calcd: 319.1684, found: 319.170. 291 : [M-H-HCN] · C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O. 188 : C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O 187 · C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O. MIKE : 319  $\rightarrow$ 304, 291,188; 291 $\rightarrow$ 263,188; 188 $\rightarrow$ 173,160; 187 $\rightarrow$ 172,170,159,143 Anal calcd

#### Compounds 21a + 21b

C 75 21, H:6.63, found : C:73.44, H:6.65.

The two previous procedures were used to obtain a mixture of diastereomers 21a (2R\* 3S\* 5R\*) and 21b (2R\* 3S\* 5S\*) in a 60:40 ratio which was not separated. Chromatography solvent 80:20 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-ether. Yield. 70%. The 21a isomer (> 95% pure) was prepared from the purified one 20a (recryst.: C₂H₃OH). Rf: 0.8 R: vC=O:1720, vNH: 3280, vC=N: 1640. 'HNMR: (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 21a: CH<sub>3</sub>-3(s:3.16), H-2 (s·3 94), CH<sub>3</sub>-3(s:1.38) 2H-4(m:2.00), H-5(m:3.48), CH<sub>3</sub>-5(d:1.38), H-15 and Ar(m:6.70-7.40). 21b :CH<sub>3</sub>-1 (s:3.15), H-2 (s.3.75), CH<sub>3</sub>-3 (s:1.42), H-4α(m:2.27), H-4β (m:2.18), JH-4α H-4β (13.8), H-5(m:3.20), JH-4α H-5(8), <sup>13</sup>CNMR:(CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 21a:CH<sub>3</sub>-1(41.63), C-2 JH-4β H-5(9.8), CH<sub>2</sub>-5(d:1.24), H-15 and Ar (m:6.40-7.40). (70 17), JC-2 H-2(148), C-3(46.55), CH3-3(25.53\*), C-4(39.02), C-5(30.00), CH3-5(20.00\*), C-6(125.70), C-7 (124 66), C-8 (126.57), C-9(120.73), C-10(145.85), C-11(139.96), C-12(178.71), C-14(162.25), C-1'(131.89), C-2'6'(127.26), C-3'5'(129.56), C-4'(128.48). 21b (mixture 21a + 21b): CH<sub>3</sub>-1(41.90), C-2 (72.85), JC-2 H-2 (146), C-3(47.23), CH<sub>3</sub>-3(26.12\*), C-4(37.77), C-5(36.78), CH<sub>3</sub>-3(26.86\*), C-10(145.63), C-11(138 71), C-12 (179.05), C-14(163.00). MS: MF: 333(2), 318(2), 306(24), 305(99), 189(15), 188(100), 187(84), 173(47), 146(21), 132(23), 131(15); C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O: calcd:333.1841; found: 333.184. 305: [M-H-HCN]\*: C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O; 188:  $C_{11}H_{12}N_2O$ ; 187. $C_{11}H_{11}N_2O$ ; MIKE: 333  $\longrightarrow$  318,305; 318  $\longrightarrow$  301,291; 305  $\longrightarrow$  288,278,213,203, 291→276,264,335,187. Anal: calcd: C:75.65, H:6.95, N:12.60; found: C:75.52, H: 7.09, N:12.43

#### Compound 31

Following the two procedures already described. Chromatography solvent: 95:5 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-ether. Yield: 26%. mp: 115°C Rf: 0.4 IR: vC=0:1730; vNH 3300; vC=N:1655. 'HNMR: (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) CH<sub>3</sub>1(s:2.91), H-2(s:4 81), 2CH<sub>3</sub>-3(s:1.55, s:1.26), H-15 and Ar(m:6.70-7.60). '3CNMR: (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) CH<sub>3</sub>-1(34.96), C-2(67.41), JC-2 H-2 (139), C-3(44.84), 2CH<sub>3</sub>-3(27.14 and 19.35), C-12(180.40), C-14(164.41), C-1'(133.00), C-2'6'(127.28), C-4' (128 67), C-1"(149.51), C-2"6"(112.72), C-4"(118.19), C-3'5'3"5"(129.44 and 129.50). MS: M³:307(4), 202(50), 188(13), 187(100); C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O: calcd: 307.1684; found: 307.168. 187: C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O. MIKE:307 $\rightarrow$ 292, 279,202; 277 $\rightarrow$ 250,209. Anal: calcd: C:74.24, H:6.88, N:13.73; found: C:74.27, H:6.95, N:13.56.

898 C NISOLE et al

#### Compound 41

Product 40 (500 mg; 1.5 mmol) was stirred in 15 ml of ethanolic 1.5 NaOH during two days at room temperature. 20 ml of water was added and the solution was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The organic layer was dried ( $K_2CO_3$ ) and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using 80·20  $CH_2Cl_2$ -ether as eluent. By addition of ether, the pure 2R\*3S\* diastereomer of 41 precipitated. Yield: 10%. mp  $177^{\circ}C$  Rf: 0.5 [HNMR:(CDCl<sub>3</sub>),  $CH_3$ -1(s:3.24), H-2(d:4.47), H-3(m:3.52), JH-2 H-3(9.5),H-4 $\alpha$  (m.3 06), H-4 $\beta$  (m:2.87), JH-4 $\alpha$  H-4 $\beta$  (14), JH-4 $\alpha$  H-3(3), JH-4 $\beta$  H-3(6.2), H-15 and Ar(m.6 60-7 50) MS. M<sup>2</sup> 291(30), 263(7), 173(100), 144(43);  $C_{10}H_{17}N_3O$  calcd: 291.1371; found: 291.137. 263 [M-CO]<sup>2</sup>:  $C_{17}H_{17}N_3$  173:  $C_{10}H_3N_2O$ .

#### General procedure for acetylation (compounds 12, 22a + 22b, 32)

Immopyrrolidinones (500 mg; 1.5mmol) and (CH<sub>2</sub>CO)<sub>2</sub>O (500 mg; 4.9 mmol) in 2 ml of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> were stirred for 5h at room temperature. Then the mixture was basified with 10 ml of 1N NaOH and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The organic layer was dried (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography using 95:5 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-ether as solvent. Yield: 80%.

Compound 12 . mg:  $165^{\circ}$ C Rf: 0.45 R: vC=0:1675 and 1760, vC=N:1640. HNMR:  $(CDCl_3)$ ,  $CH_3-1$  (s·3 19), H-2 (s:4.68), CH<sub>3</sub>-3(s:1.38\*), H-4 $\alpha$ (m:2.38), H-4 $\beta$ (m:2.19), JH-4 $\alpha$  H-4 $\beta$ (13.6), H-5 $\alpha$ (m:3 10), H-5 $\beta$ (m2.85), JH-5  $\alpha$ H-5 $\beta$ (17), CH<sub>3</sub>-15(s:1.51\*), Ar(m:6.70-7.50). CNMR:  $(CDCl_3)$ , CH<sub>3</sub>-1(44.12), C-2(70.71), JC-2 H-2 (133), C-3 (48.29), CH<sub>3</sub>-3(23.11), C-4(34.81), C-5(30.01), C-6(131.31), C-7(120.34), C-8(127.02), C-9 (118.01), C-10(146.06), C-11(127.02), C-12(178.72), C-14(158.85), C-15(183.17), CH<sub>3</sub>-15(25.99), C-1' (132.76), C-2'6'(127.13), C-3'5'(129.02), C-4'(128.66). MS: M<sup>5</sup>: 361(21), 318(27), 230(98), 231(14), 188(43), 187(100), 132(38); C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: caled: 361.1790; found: 361.180.

Compound 22a: <u>Rf</u>; 0.6 <u>IR</u>: vC=O: 1678 and 1768, vC=N:1624. <u>'HNMR</u>:(CDCl<sub>3</sub>), CH<sub>3</sub>-1(s:2 99), H-2 (s 4 47), CH<sub>3</sub>-3(s:1.37), 2H-4(d:2.10), JH-4 H-5 (9.95), H-5(m:3.51), CH<sub>3</sub>-5(d:1.38), CH<sub>3</sub>-15(s.2 07), Ar(m:6.75 and 7.13-7.31). NOE: H-2: 14%.

Compound 22b: Rf: 0.5 HNMR: (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), CH<sub>3</sub>-1(s:3.11), H-2 (s:4.24), CH<sub>3</sub>-3(s:1.46), H-4 $\alpha$ (m:2.25), H-4 $\beta$ (m 2 18, JH-4 $\alpha$  H-4 $\beta$ (13.5), JH-4 $\alpha$  H-5(9.9), JH-4 $\beta$  H-5(7.3), H-5(m:3.15), CH<sub>3</sub>-5(d:1.25), CH<sub>3</sub>-15(s:1 81), Ar(m:6.60 and 7.03-7.31). NOE: H-2:13%; H-5:0%. MS (22a + 22b): M::375(36), 376(8), 360(3), 347(7), 332(25), 318(9), 305(12), 231(14), 230(100), 201(16), 188(34), 187(100), 147(10), 146(53), 144(16), 132(23), 131(17).  $C_{23}H_{22}N_{3}O_{2}$ : calcd: 379.1947; found: 379.194. 188:  $C_{11}H_{12}N_{2}O$ ; 187:  $C_{11}H_{11}N_{2}O$ .

Compound 32: Rf: 0.63 mp:122°C IR: vC=O: 1700 and 1755, vC=N:1650. 'HNMR: (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), CH<sub>3</sub>-1 (s.2.91), H-2 (s:5.13), 2CH<sub>3</sub>-3(s:1.55 and s:1.24), CH<sub>3</sub>-15(s:1.87), Ar(m:6.70-7.60). 'CNMR: (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), CH<sub>3</sub>-1 (35.53), C-2 (63.22), JC-2H-2(146), C-3(44.00), 2CH<sub>3</sub>-3(28.26 and 18.49), C-12(180.61), C-14(157.28), C-15 (183.67), CH<sub>3</sub>-15(24.87), C-1'(132.76), C-2'6'(127.00), C-4'(128.84), C-1"(148.24), C-2"6"(112.77), C-4" (118.77), C-3'5'3"5"(129.19 and 129.52). MS: Mi: 349(56), 306(16), 292(21), 244(81), 229(81), 188(21), 187(100); C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: calcd: 349.1790, found: 349.179. 188: C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O; 187:C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>11</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O. Anal. calcd C.72.18, H:6 63, N:12.02; found: C.72.23, H:6.75, N:11.95.

#### X-RAY ANALYSES

21a :  $C_{21}H_{23}N_3O$  Mr = 333 4, monoclinic,  $P_{21}/c$ , a = 10 185(7), b=12 660(5), c = 14 021(6) Å,  $\beta$  = 98 94(4)°, V = 1786(1) Å-3, Z = 4,  $D_x$  = 1 24 Mg m-3,  $\lambda$ (MoK $\alpha$ ) = 0 71069Å,  $\mu$  = 0 73 cm-1, F(000) = 712, T = 293 K, final R = 0 043 for 1737 observations

The sample (prism 0 20\*0 26\*0 28 mm) was studied on an automatic diffractometer CAD4 ENRAF-NONIUS with graphite monochromatized MoK $\alpha$  radiation. The cell parameters were obtained by fitting a set of 25 high-theta reflections. The data collection [  $2\theta_{max} = 50^{\circ}$ , scan  $\omega/2\theta = 1$ ,  $t_{max} = 60$  s, range HKL H 0.12 K 0.15 L -16.16, intensity controls without appreciable decay (0 2%)]gave 3488 reflections, 1737 of which were independent ( $R_{int} = 0.028$ ) with I>3 $\sigma$ (I)

After Lorenz and polarization corrections the structure was solved with the Semi Invariants Method (SIR88) which reveals all the non-hydrogen atoms of the molecule. After isotropic (R = 0.12) refinement, then anisotropic (0.088) refinement, the hydrogen atoms were located using a Fourier Difference (between 0.46 and 0.27 eÅ-3). The whole structure was refined by the full-matrix least-square techniques (use of F magnitude , x , y, z,  $\beta_{ij}$  for C, N and O atoms, x, y, z for H atoms , 296 variables and 1737 observations ,  $\omega = 1/\sigma(F_0)^2 = [\sigma^2(I) + (0.04F_0^2)^2]^{-1/2}$ ) with the resulting R = 0.044,  $R_{\omega} = 0.044$  and  $S_{\omega} = 0.87$  (residual  $\Delta \rho \leq 0.34$  eÅ-3)

12:  $C_{22}H_{23}O_2N_3$  Mr = 361 5, triclinic, P-1, a = 7 949(5), b=10 925(4), c = 11 248(4) Å,  $\alpha$  = 85 57(4),  $\beta$  = 88 89(4),  $\gamma$  = 74 38(3)°, V = 938(1) Å-3, Z = 2,  $D_x$  = 1.28 Mg m-3,  $\lambda$ (MoK $\alpha$ ) = 0 71069Å,  $\mu$  = 0.78 cm-1, F(000) = 384, T = 293 K, final R = 0 047 for 1912 observations.

The sample (prism 0.25\*0.30\*0.35 mm) was studied on an automatic diffractometer CAD4 ENRAF-NONIUS with graphite monochromatized MoK $\alpha$  radiation. The cell parameters were obtained by fitting a set of 25 high-theta reflections. The data collection [ $2\theta_{max} = 50^{\circ}$ , scan  $\omega/2\theta = 1$ ,  $t_{max} = 60$  s, range HKL H 0,6 K -13,13 L -13,13, intensity controls without appreciable decay (0.9%)] gave 3554 reflections from which 1912 independent ( $R_{int} = 0.021$ ) with I>3 $\sigma$ (I)

After Lorenz and polarization corrections the structure was solved with Direct Method which reveals all the non-hydrogen atoms of the molecule. After isotropic (R = 0.11) refinement, then anisotropic (0.09) refinement, the hydrogen atoms were located using a Fourier Difference (between 0.47 and 0.22 eÅ-3). The whole structure was refined by the full-matrix least-square techniques (use of F magnitude; x , y, z,  $\beta_{ij}$  for C, O and N atoms, and x, y, z for H atoms , 314 variables and 1912 observations ,  $\omega = 1/\sigma(F_0)^2 = [\sigma^2(I) + (0.04F_0^2)^2]^{-1/2}$ ) with the resulting R = 0.047 ,  $R_\omega = 0.047$  and  $S_\omega = 1.15$  (residual  $\Delta \rho \le 0.17$  eÅ-3.) Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974) (16). All the calculations were performed on a Digital MicroVAX 3100 computer with the MolEN package (17)

#### NOMENCLATURE

 $10 \quad \text{N-phenyl} (2R*3S*)1,3-dimethyl-2-cyano-2,3,4,5-1$$H-1-benzazepine-3-carboxamide .$   $20a \quad \text{N-phenyl} (2R*3S*5R*)1,3,5-trimethyl-2-cyano-2,3,4,5-1$$H-1-benzazepine-3-carboxamide .$   $20b \quad (2R*3S*5S*) \quad .$ 

900 C Nisole et al

- 30 N-phenyl-2,2-dimethyl-3-(N-methylanilino)-3-cyanopropanamide.
- 40 N-phenyl(2R\*3S\*)1-methyl-2-cyano-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline-3-carboxamide
- 11 (3aR\*10aS\*)3-imino-4,10a-dimethyl-2-phenyl-3,3a,4,9,10,10a-hexahydropyrrolo[3,4-b][l]benzazepin-1(2H)-one . 12 3-acetylimino derivative .
- 21a (3aR\*10aS\*9R\*)3-imino-4,9,10a-trimethyl-2-phenyl-3,3a,4,9,10,10a-hexahydropyrrolo[3,4-b] [i] benzazepin-1(2H)-one . 21b (3aR\*10aS\*9S\*) . 22a + 22b 3-acetylimino derivatives.
- 31 5-immo-1-phenyl-3,3-dimethyl-4-(N-methylantlino)-1,3,4,5-tetrahydro-2H-pyrrol-2-one
- 32 5-acetylimino derivative
- 41 (3aR\*9aS\*)3-imino-4-methyl-2-phenyl-2,3,3a,4,9,9a-hexahydro-1H-pyrrolo[3,4-b]quinolin-1-one

#### REFERENCES

- 1 NISOLE, C.; URIAC, P.; HUET, J.; TOUPET, L. J Chem. Res. 1991, (S) 204, (M) 1914
- NISOLE, C; URIAC, P.; HUET, J., TOUPET, L. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 1081.
- 3 SMITH, P. A. S., "Open Chain Nitrogen Compounds", W A. Benjamin, New York, 1965, Vol. I, 327
- 4 a)BARTON, D H R., OLLIS, W. D "Comprehensive Organic Chemistry", Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1979, Vol II, 114.
   b) ibid, 539.
- 5 COOK, A G "Enamines", 2d Edition, Dekker, New York, 1988, 317.
- 6 LEONARD, N J, HAY, A S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 1984
- 7 LEONARD, N J., HAUCK, F P J Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 5279
- 8 PATAI, S. "The Chemistry of Functional Groups", J Wiley & Sons, New York, 1970, "The Chemistry of Cyano Group", 400
- 9 EBY, J. M.; MOORE, J. A. J Org Chem. 1967, 32, 1346.
- 10 a)FOUCAUD, A , Bull. Soc Chim. Fr 1964, 123.
  b)FOUCAUD, A , GADREAU, C Bull. Soc Chim. Fr. 1966, 995
  c)FOUCAUD, A , BARRET, G. Bull Soc Chim. Fr 1969, 2836.
- 11 OJIMA, I., INABA, S.; NAKATSUGAWA, K. Chem. Letters 1975, 79, 331
- 12 PERELMAN, M.; MIZSAK, S. A. J Am Chem. Soc 1962, 84, 4988.
- 13 a)DUNN, A. R , STOODLEY, R J. Tetrahedron 1972, 28, 3315.
   b)BABUDRI, F , FLORIO, S.\*; INDELICATI, G ; TRAPANI, G. J Org Chem. 1983, 48, 4082
- 14 SVILARICH-SOENEN, M., FOUCAUD, A. Tetrahedron 1972, 28, 5149.
- 15 LEY, K; EHOLZER, U; NAST, R Angew Chem (internat) 1965, 4, 519
- 16 International Tables for X-ray Crystallography 1974, Vol IV, Birmingham: Kynoch Press (Present distributeur D. Reidel, Dordrecht).
- 17 ENRAF-NONIUS Molecular Structure Determination Package MolEN Version 1990, Enraf-Nonius, The Netherlands.

Acknowledgment The authors thank Mr P Guenot and Mr S. Sinbandhit of the CRMPO (MS and NMR)